

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)				
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0250984 DATE: <u>07/15/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>08:45AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:10AM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: AMARALTO CONCRETE & PUMP INC				
FACILITY LOCATION: 400 NW 10 Ave				
HOMESTEAD 33030				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: AMADO LLIZO PHONE:				
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/12/2008 / 6/11/2013				
(effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.				
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions				
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				

	-1
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the content of	he
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	⊠Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:	
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	□Yes □ No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	Yes No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	to ⊠Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III. OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REOUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>,</li> </ol>	ng
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ng □Yes ⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ng
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ng □Yes ⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ng □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ng
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng    Yes   No     Yes   No     Yes   No     Yes   No     Yes   No     Yes   No     Yes   No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
H				
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
<ol> <li>Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:</li> </ol>				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y	ards which shall include one or more of the fol	lowing.		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \text{\subsymbol{\subsymbol{\s				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-si				
emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other	paved areas under control of the owner/operato	r to		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?   Yes  No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win	d breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes ∐ No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - I	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?		□Yes ⊠ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		□Yes ⊠ No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form? Tyes No				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050 local program office?	, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	Dv., Dv.		
local program office?		∐Yes ∐ No		
MARUFUL MALIK	07/15/2010			
WARUFUL WALIK	07/13/2010			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_		
	07/15/2011			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_		
COMMENTS: On July 15, 2010 I visited this facility to conduct the	he annual compliance inspection and to witness	a a viaibla		

**COMMENTS:** On July 15, 2010 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection and to witness a visible emissions test. On site I met Mr. Miguel Valdez, the manager of the facility. Visible Emissions test was conducted by Mr. Bill Arlington, Arlington Environmental Services. VE tests were conducted on the baghouse on silo and weigh hopper dust collector. This facility produces approximately 1200 yards of concrete per month. I did not observe any fugitive particulates around the facility.